

Yamarino said they are not showing the line as underground. Town Planner Schwenzfeier said their application has been withdrawn from the PSC because it is incomplete as they are asking for additional information. Board Member Rayhill said we can also note that New Hartford is opposed to this. Chairman Arnold wants to see a comment for a public policy in this plan.

16. Describe the impacts of peter j. smith's proposal to eliminate 2 mobile home parks (How does that Town overcome the potential elitist Persephone that the Town does not allow this type of development). Response: There are a number of mobile home parks in New Hartford. Concern is about the ones being phased out. Peter Smith said these are already obsolete in many ways. We can rezone, not remove them but prefer newer homes going in. Storm water management is an issue, especially because of the location of the particular parks in question. Flood Plain zones were also discussed and Mr. Curt Nichols said he feels this would fall right in with the overlay. Board Member Donovan referred to a possible relocation plan or acquisition of land. Discussion ensued regarding the taking of the parks and that this would be a public policy of the Town Board to initiate it. One of the parks is in question with the NYSDEC, and some homes were built illegally. Peter Smith is looking at it as a more detailed storm water plan. We are going to put together some type of storm water projects for this and pick one that makes the most sense. Funding is an issue – that is one of the things we are going to look at with the implementation of the plan.

The Board Members are comfortable leaving the language in regarding the mobile home parks as this is all conceptual. Board Member Rotton asked if anything was addressed about where to place these residents. The Town Planner said he can find out how many units are in these parks.

17. Address the proposal for a forestry or conservation [no development] designation for certain areas. Purchase of Development Rights, Transfer of development rights, Conservation or forestry easements, deed restrictions, forever-wild designation. A Town conservation area and others. Response: done.

18. Explain the integration of the fees in lieu of mitigation and let us know when we'll see a draft. (How are the fees determined and what would be considered acceptable offsite mitigation?). Response: discussion was done on this.

*19. Identify potential mitigation project that the Town should undertake either by requiring it as off-site mitigation in areas of development or by requiring FILM.

*20. Propose identifiable goal that the Town should reach as development occurs as part of the GEIS. (Example if 200 homes have been developed on Chapman Road, road